

COST RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT

Development of food regulatory measures

1 July 2024 to 30 June 2025

Version 1.1

Cost recovery involves government entities charging individuals or non-government organisations some or all of the efficient costs of a specific government activity. This may include goods, services or regulation, or a combination of them. The Australian Government Charging Framework, which incorporates the Cost Recovery Guidelines (the CRGs)¹, sets out the framework under which government entities design, implement and review regulatory charging activities, consistent with the *Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013*.

¹ The Australian Government Charging Framework and the CRGs are available on the Department of Finance Website

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Cost Recovery Implementation Statement

This Cost Recovery Implementation Statement (CRIS) provides information on how Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) implements cost recovery for developing food regulatory measures. It outlines the fees that will apply for the 2024-25 financial year and provides financial forecasts for the 2024-25 financial year and three forward years.

FSANZ will maintain the CRIS until the activity or cost recovery for the activity has been discontinued.

1.2 Description of the regulatory charging activity

FSANZ recovers costs associated with applications to vary the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code (the Code) in two circumstances:

- the applicant has requested to have the consideration of the application expedited; or
- the application is to develop or vary a standard and the development or variation of the standard would confer an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the applicant.

Food standards can be varied in response to an application from an agency, body, or individual, or a proposal prepared on FSANZ's initiative. Decisions by the FSANZ Board to approve variations to the Code are subject to consideration by the Food Ministers Meeting (previously known as the Australia and New Zealand Ministerial Forum on Food Regulation), whose members are ministers from the Australian, State, Territory and New Zealand Governments. The decision of the FSANZ Board to approve a variation for consideration by the Food Ministers' Meeting is a non-delegable decision.

The Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991 (FSANZ Act) establishes procedures for the assessment of applications to amend the Code. Similar procedures are established for proposals, but they are not relevant to this CRIS as no costs are recovered for proposals.

FSANZ assesses applications under one of four procedures, which are established and described in the FSANZ Act:

general procedure – the default procedure under which most applications and proposals are assessed. The general procedure is described in Subdivision D Division 1 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act. It must be applied unless the conditions for the other procedures exist².

minor procedure – is only used if a variation does not alter the legal effect of a standard i.e. the procedure is only for correcting matters such as typographical errors. The procedure is not relevant to paid applications as cost recovery of minor procedure applications was repealed in 2019.

major procedure – is used for applications that would create a new food regulatory measure, would significantly change the scope of a measure or involve scientific or technical complexity, requiring extended consultation. Essentially, a major procedure application is a general procedure application with an additional round of public consultation after the assessment step.

high level health claim variation procedure – is used to make a change in Schedule 4 of the Code to the list of high level health claims (section S4—4). The levels for this procedure mirror, generally, those for the general procedure. The high level health claim variation application

² Section 25 FSANZ Act. The other procedures are described in Subdivisions E, F and G.

requires a modification of the general procedure to include consultation with an independent expert committee that is mandated by the FSANZ Act and the Food Regulation Standing Committee, a policy advisory body established by the Food Regulation Agreement between the Australian Government and state and territory governments. FSANZ is not required to consult publicly under this procedure unless the applicant requests public consultation.

1.3 Description of the regulatory charging activity

The assessment of an application (Figure 1) includes activities relating to risk assessment, risk management, risk communication, legal drafting, consultation, general administrative work and standards management work. These activities are undertaken by FSANZ, who employ a range of highly qualified staff such as toxicologists, food technologists, dietary modellers, labelling experts, microbiologists, social scientists and lawyers to undertake this work.

Administrative Application received Application accepted assessment Applicant & public notified **MAJOR PROCEDURE GENERAL PROCEDURE** MINOR PROCEDURE Assessment Draft regulatory measures Notification developed Call for and respond to Notification submissions Draft regulatory measures Call for and respond to developed submissions Approval Notification (FSANZ Board) Call for and respond to Food Ministers' Meeting Food Ministers' Meeting submissions notified notified Approval Publication* Publication* (FSANZ Board) Food Ministers' Meeting notified Publication*

Figure 1: Application assessment process

^{*}Publication of application subject to outcomes of Food Ministers' Meeting.

2 POLICY AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO COST RECOVER

2.1 What policy outcomes will the activity achieve?

The activities are appropriate for cost recovery for the following reasons:

- they provide an important means of improving the efficiency and equity with which Government services are provided
- the charging of fees and the levy sends price signals to individuals or groups about the cost or value of a government activity and
- the services are requested by an identifiable group of parties who cause effort for the assessment of their applications.

This CRIS describes the cost recoverable activities that have contributed to the achievement of the FSANZ Outcome as outlined in the 2023-24 Health Portfolio Budget Statement.

A safe food supply and well-informed consumers in Australia and New Zealand, including through the development of food regulatory measures and the promotion of their consistent implementation, coordination of food recall activities and the monitoring of consumer and industry food practices.

2.2 Who will pay the regulatory charges?

Fees will be charged to applicants that fulfil one of the criteria under the FSANZ Act:

- a) the applicant has requested to have the consideration of the application expedited; or
- b) the application is to develop or vary a standard and the development or variation of the standard would confer an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the applicant.

2.3 Government policy approval to cost recover the activity

In 1999, the FSANZ Act was amended to authorise FSANZ to charge a fee, Government's Second Reading speech³ to the 1999 Bill explicitly recognised charging based on full cost recovery. There has been no government decision to recover partial costs. Cost recovery is implemented through enforcement of provisions of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Regulations* 1994 (FSANZ Regulations).

2.4 Statutory authority to charge

FSANZ's authority to recover costs is set out in section 146 of the FSANZ Act which provides that the regulations may fix charges for services provided by FSANZ.

Part 4, sections 6B to 9 of the FSANZ Regulations establish the rates of charging, identify the circumstances in which instalments may be paid and the process for paying refunds.

³http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/search/display/display.w3p;db=CHAMBER;id=chamber%2Fhansards%2F1 999-12-06%2F0006;query=Id%3A%22chamber%2Fhansards%2F1999-12-06%2F0000%22

3 COST RECOVERY MODEL

Cost recovery fees will be charged where a direct relationship exists between the regulatory activity and the individual or organisation requesting that specific activity. All regulated entities are charged a fee depending on the level of the procedure undertaken.

3.1 Regulatory activities included in the model

The following business processes are included in each application fee. The table also outlines the relevant section of the FSANZ Act that given authority to undertake the business process and details of the activities conducted that a relevant fee would be liable to be paid.

Table 1. Business processes

Table 1. Busilless bi	0003303	
Business process	Relative section of FSANZ Act	Activities included:
a) Receiving and processing an application	Section 22, 26 and 27	 receipt of application by the Standards Management Officer nomination of project manager preparation of advice about acceptance or rejection of application decision to accept or reject an application must be made by the Board or a delegated Senior Executive Officer.
b) Assessing an application	Section 29	 assessments are performed by specialist risk managers, risk assessors and risk communicators The level of staff engaged in the assessment task will vary depending on the issues raised by the application.
c) Drafting variations to the Code	Section 30	 specialist lawyers on instructions from the project manager develop drafting instructions based on what is included in the assessment item.
d) Public notification of decision	Section 28 and 34	the Standards Management Officer and the Communications team prepare and publish notification documentation.
e) Call for, and response to, submissions	Section 31, 32(2), 32(3)	the project manager and risk management team seek public engagement and consider responses, resource requirements are dependent on the level of engagement.
f) Approval	Section 33	 A decision to approve or reject a draft variation must be made by the FSANZ Board FSANZ must prepare and publish a report that provides reasons for the decision in a mandatory format this activity includes input from the Board, the Board Secretariat, Standards Management, the project manager and risk managers and legal advisers.

Business process	Relative section of FSANZ Act	Activities included:
g) Records Management		the Standards Management Officer, with some minor input by the Communications teams ensure that the documentation complies with Australian Government law and policy relating to the management of information.
h) Notification and registration of amendments to the Code. Registration of compilations	Section 92	 food regulatory measures or variation are published by Gazette notice in Australia and New Zealand, and on the FSANZ website. the Code is a legislative instrument that must be published in the Australian Government's Federal Register of Legislation. this is work that is managed by Standards Management Officer with signing under appropriate Delegated authority.

While there can be minor variations, all elements, other than b. and e. are relatively generic for most applications. Elements b. and e. are variable because each application presents its own set of risk analysis issues.

3.2 Costs and assumptions of the regulatory charging activity

In line with the CRGs, the activity-based costing model includes the following costs⁴:

Direct costs: Direct costs included in this model are staff salaries (including on-costs for superannuation and leave) for those directly involved in the activity, committee costs and supplier costs (e.g. contractors, consultants and legal).

Indirect costs: Indirect costs included in this model are allocated as overheads for staff directly involved in performing the activities using the Department of Finance's approved costing methodology. Indirect costs include staff training and development, workers compensation premium, human resources support, organisational services, desktop ICT services and property operating expenses.

Activity-based costing methodology has been applied to allocate costs to activities and outputs using volume-based cost drivers. This method enables more informed analysis of the efficiency of outputs and business processes. Costs were estimated on the following basis:

- the regulatory activities to be delivered were identified in consultation with relevant staff;
- FSANZ Board costs were estimated based on the number of members and meetings, and include wages/salary of members, travel allowances, accommodation, flights and catering as applicable;
- the number of applications and hours required to complete an application was calculated by analysing applications over a 3-year period;
- staff costs were determined based on the FSANZ enterprise agreement, Remuneration
 Tribunal Determination and employment contracts; and
- overheads as recommended by the Department of Finance.

⁴ Definition of the direct and indirect costs are from the CRGs.

Using the activity-based cost mode, the estimated unit cost for each procedure level were determined as follows (all costs and prices are in AUD):

Table 3. Estimated unit cost per activity by procedure level, effective from 1 July 2024 (2024-25 financial year)

Procedure Level 2023-24	Direc	t costs	Indire	ct costs	Total	cost	Fee p	ayable
General 1 or High level health claims 1	\$	37,167	\$	10,311	\$	47,478	\$	47,478
General 2 or High level health claims 2	\$	49,157	\$	13,061	\$	62,218	\$	62,218
General 3 or High level health claims 3	\$	63,327	\$	16,311	\$	79,638	\$	79,638
General 4 or High level health claims 4	\$	74,227	\$	18,811	\$	93,038	\$	93,038
General 5 or High level health claims 5	\$	74,227	\$	18,811	\$	93,038	\$	93,038
Major Procedure	\$	105,837	\$	26,061	\$	131,898	\$	131,898

Each procedural level includes a fixed non-refundable charge of \$22,608 that is made up of \$16,797 in direct costs and \$5,811 in indirect costs. This fixed non-refundable charge includes costs associated with receiving and processing an application, drafting variations to the Code, public notification, records management, approval, publication and compilation.

Charges are based on standard hourly rates these can be found in the table below:

Table 4. Standard hourly rates from 1 July 2024 (2024-25 financial year)

Office, Position, Classification of Authority Personnel	Direct costs	Indirect costs	Total cost per hour
Chairperson of the Board	\$ 383	\$ 174	\$ 557
Member of the Board	\$ 328	\$ 174	\$ 502
Chief Executive Officer	\$ 282	\$ 33	\$ 315
SES Officer	\$ 227	\$ 30	\$ 257
Principle research scientist	\$ 175	\$ 28	\$ 203
Executive Officer Level 2.6 or Legal 2	\$ 155	\$ 28	\$ 182
Executive Officer Level 2.5	\$ 151	\$ 27	\$ 178
Executive Officer Level 2.4	\$ 144	\$ 27	\$ 172
Executive Officer Level 2.3	\$ 140	\$ 27	\$ 167
Executive Officer Level 2.2 or Legal 1.9	\$ 132	\$ 27	\$ 159
Executive Officer Level 2.1	\$ 124	\$ 26	\$ 151
Executive Officer Level 1.3 or Legal 1.8	\$ 117	\$ 26	\$ 143
Executive Officer Level 1.2	\$ 111	\$ 26	\$ 137
Executive Officer Level 1.1 or Legal 1.7	\$ 105	\$ 26	\$ 131
Australian Public Service Level 6 or Legal 1.6	\$ 96	\$ 25	\$ 121
Australian Public Service Level 1-5 or Legal 1.1 to 1.5	\$ 82	\$ 25	\$ 107

3.3 Cost recovery fees

The following table outlines the indicative cost recovery fees payable for an application in each procedural level.

Details of the invoicing processes will be provided to applicants (sponsors) in supporting documentation. The fee will be payable at the time of application.

Table 5: Fees payable for each procedure level

Procedure	Maximum variable hours	Fixe	d charge	Vari chai	able ge	Adminis on charg		Tota	al fee able
General 1 or High level health claims 1	180	\$	22,608	\$	24,120	\$	750	\$	47,478
General 2 or High level health claims 2	290	\$	22,608	\$	38,860	\$	750	\$	62,218
General 3 or High level health claims 3	420	\$	22,608	\$	56,280	\$	750	\$	79,638
General 4 or High level health claims 4	520	\$	22,608	\$	69,680	\$	750	\$	93,038
General 5 or High level health claims 5	520	\$	22,608	\$	69,680	\$	750	\$	93,038
Major Procedure	810	\$	22,608	\$	108,540	\$	750	\$	131,898

4 RISK ASSESSMENT

When analysing risk, FSANZ has considered our operating environment and the following factors that influence cost recovery:

- complexity
- materiality
- · sensitivity.

FSANZ has determined that the risk is 'medium'. The risk rating does not determine whether cost recovery is appropriate, or whether some activities are more suitable for cost recovery than others.

5 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

For cost-recovered activities, stakeholder engagement is particularly important as cost recovery charges have a direct impact on those who pay them. Consequently, FSANZ will utilise existing channels and consultative forums to ensure that information is available to stakeholders are kept informed throughout the cost recovery process.

The CRIS will be reviewed and updated at least annually and published on the agency's website for stakeholders. An updated CRIS will be published outlining the changes to cost recovery arrangements, prior to commencement of the new charges.

^{*}To include reference to consultation outcomes*

6 FINANCIAL ESTIMATES

Table 6 reflects the forecast expenses along with the estimated revenue for 2023-24 and three forward years. Should there be any change to the cost recovery fees and charges as set out in this CRIS, a new financial estimates table will be provided.

Table 6. Financial estimates for application cost recovery activities for 2023-24 and three forward years (new cost recovery arrangements)

Forecast Financial Estimates	2023-24 \$ '000	2024-25 \$ '000	2025-26 \$ '000	2026-27 \$ '000		
Combined Expenses = X	\$950	\$1,000	\$1,050	\$1,100		
Combined Revenue = Y	\$950	\$1,000	\$1,050	\$1,100		
Balance = X - Y	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0		
(negative deficit)						
Cumulative Balance	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0		
(negative deficit)						
	Revenue will largely reflect the costs associated, the application of					
	Australian Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standard 15					
	requires that revenue only be recognised in line with the performance					
	of the obligation, which in the case of an application to change the food					
	code is recognised when expenses are incurred. Any excess fees are					
	refunded to the applicant in line with the regulations.					

7 PERFORMANCE

7.1 Financial Performance

FSANZ's cost recovery arrangements commenced on 1 July 2000 and have been reviewed on an ad hoc basis, with reviews conducted in 2002, 2009–10, annually between 2012 and 2016 and in 2019. In accordance with Australian Accounting Standards revenue from applications is recognised when expenses are incurred during the undertaking of the application process.

Table 7. Financial Performance for application cost recovery activities between 2019-20 and 2022-23

Financial Performance		19-20 '000	2020-21 \$ '000	2021-22 \$ '000	2022-23 \$ '000
Expenses = X		\$ 558	\$ 743	\$ 955	\$ 908
Revenue = Y		\$ 558	\$ 743	\$ 960	\$ 909
Balance = X+Y	47	\$ 0	\$ 0	-\$ 5	-\$ 1
Budgeted Revenue		\$ 300	\$ 450	\$ 600	\$ 1,000

The financial performance table will be updated after each financial year has ended to report on the actual financial performance compared with the forecast financial performance.

7.2 Non-financial Performance

In line with FSANZ's Performance Framework, the key performance indicator for Application activity is that Applications to amend the Food Regulatory Measures are considered within statutory timeframes (per Portfolio Budget Statements - FSANZ Outcome 1 Performance Measures). Outcomes are reported in the FSANZ annual report, which can be accessed through the FSANZ Website.

8 KEY FORWARD DATES AND EVENTS

Activity	Date
Update of CRIS with 2025-26 financial performance data (Version 1.2)	July 2025

9 CRIS APPROVAL AND CHANGE REGISTER

05/06/2018Certification of the draft CRISFood Standards Australia New Zealand BoardNew regulatory charging activity05/09/2018Certification of CRIS2018:1Food Standards Australia New Zealand BoardCertification, after consultation31/07/2023Presentation changesFood Standards AustraliaOutdated information.
05/09/2018Certification of CRIS2018:1Food Standards Australia New Zealand BoardCertification, after consultation31/07/2023Presentation changesFood Standards AustraliaOutdated information.
CRIS2018:1 New Zealand Board consultation 31/07/2023 Presentation changes Food Standards Australia Outdated information.
31/07/2023 Presentation changes Food Standards Australia Outdated information.
to improve readability New Zealand CEO
and update to
financial performance
measures.
1/07/2024 Update to fees and Food Standards Australia Revised fees
presentation changes New Zealand Board

9. ATTACHMENT A - SUMMARY OF MAIN STAKEHOLDER VIEWS ON REQUIRED CRIS CONSULTATION AND RELATED DEPARTMENT RESPONSES 2023-24

Stakeholder Comment	Response
Fees	
Non-Financial Performance	
Terminology	
Policy Details	
Impact on Industry	